Stream: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

RFC: 9894

Category: Standards Track
Published: December 2025
ISSN: 2070-1721

Authors:

B. Cheng D. Wiggins L. Berger D. Eastlake 3rd, Ed.

MIT Lincoln Laboratory LabN Consulting, L.L.C. Independent

RFC 9894

Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Diffserv Aware Credit Window Extension

Abstract

This document defines an extension to the Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) that enables a Diffserv aware credit window scheme for destination-specific and shared flow control.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9894.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Key Words	3
2. Extension Usage and Identification	3
3. Management Considerations	3
4. Security Considerations	4
5. IANA Considerations	4
6. References	4
6.1. Normative References	4
6.2. Informative References	5
Acknowledgments	5
Authors' Addresses	

1. Introduction

The Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) is defined in [RFC8175]. The protocol provides the exchange of link-related control information between DLEP peers. DLEP peers consist of a modem and a router. DLEP defines a base set of mechanisms as well as support for possible extensions. This document defines one such extension.

The DLEP specification does not include any flow control capability. Various flow control techniques are theoretically possible with DLEP. This document defines a DLEP extension that provides a Diffserv-based flow control mechanism for traffic sent from a router to a modem. Flow control is provided using one or more logical "credit windows", each of which will typically be supported by an associated virtual or physical queue. A router will use traffic flow classification information provided by the modem to identify which traffic is associated with each credit window. Credit windows may be shared or dedicated on a per-flow basis. See [RFC9895] for an Ethernet-based version of credit window flow control. As specified in Section 2.3.1 of [RFC9892], when both Diffserv and Ethernet traffic classification are specified for a flow, the Ethernet information takes precedence.

This document uses the traffic classification and credit window flow control mechanisms defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893] to provide credit-window-based flow control based on DLEP destinations and Differentiated Services Code Points (DSCPs) [RFC2475]. The defined mechanism

allows for credit windows to be shared across traffic sent to multiple DLEP destinations and DSCPs, or used exclusively for traffic sent to a particular destination and/or DSCP. The extension also supports the "wildcard" matching of any DSCP.

The extension defined in this document is referred to as the "Diffserv Aware Credit Window" or, more simply, the "DA Credit" extension. The reader should be familiar with both the traffic classification and credit window flow control mechanisms defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893].

This document defines a new DLEP Extension Type value that is used to indicate support for the extension. See Section 2.

1.1. Key Words

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Extension Usage and Identification

The extension defined in this document is built on the mechanisms and processing defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893]. To indicate that the Diffserv Aware Credit Window Extension is to be used, an implementation MUST include the Diffserv Aware Credit Window Extension Type value in the Extensions Supported Data Item (see Section 13.6 of [RFC8175]). The Extensions Supported Data Item is sent and processed according to [RFC8175]. Any implementation that indicates the use of the Diffserv Aware Credit Window Extension MUST support all message types, Data Items, the Diffserv Traffic Classification Sub-Data Item, and all related processing defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893].

The Diffserv Aware Credit Window Extension Type value is 6. See Section 5.

3. Management Considerations

This section provides several network management guidelines for implementations supporting the Diffserv Aware Credit Window Extension.

If this extension is supported, that support MUST be declared using the Extensions Supported Data Item (see Section 13.6 of [RFC8175]). Diffserv Aware Credit Window Extension Data Items MUST NOT be emitted by a DLEP participant unless such support was specified in the initialization message received from its peer. The use of the extension defined in this document SHOULD be configurable on both modems and routers. That configuration can be implemented using a proprietary Command-Line Interface or by implementing a YANG module. The definition of the YANG module is out of scope for this document.

Modems **SHOULD** support the configuration of mapping a DSCP to a credit window (queue).

Modems MAY support the configuration of the number of credit windows (queues) to advertise to a router.

Routers may have limits on the number of queues that they can support and limits on supported credit window combinations. Per-destination queues might not be supported at all. When credit window information provided by a modem exceeds the capabilities of a router, the router **SHOULD** use a subset of the provided credit windows. Alternatively, a router **MAY** reset the session and indicate that the extension is not supported. In either case, any mismatch in capabilities **SHOULD** be reported to the user via normal network management mechanisms, such as user interface messages or error logging.

In all cases, if credit windows are in use, traffic for which credits are not available **MUST NOT** be sent to the modem by the router.

4. Security Considerations

This document defines a DLEP extension that uses DLEP mechanisms and the credit window flow control mechanisms defined in [RFC9892] and [RFC9893]. See also the Security Considerations sections of those documents.

The defined extension is exposed to vulnerabilities similar to existing DLEP messages and discussed in the Security Considerations section of [RFC8175], such as an injected message resizing a credit window to a value that results in a denial of service. The security mechanisms documented in [RFC8175] can be applied equally to the mechanism defined in this document.

Wildcards for matching Priority Code Point (PCP) and VLAN Identifier (VID) fields (see [RFC9895]) are provided. Note that wildcards may be convenient for matching a number of packet flows but could inadvertently match unexpected flows or new flows that appear after the wildcard matching has been set up. It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that wildcards not be used unless needed.

5. IANA Considerations

IANA has assigned the following code point in the "Extension Type Values" registry in the "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Parameters" registry group:

Code	Description
6	Diffserv Aware Credit Window

Table 1: Extension Type Value

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174.
- [RFC8175] Ratliff, S., Jury, S., Satterwhite, D., Taylor, R., and B. Berry, "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP)", RFC 8175, DOI 10.17487/RFC8175, June 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8175.
- [RFC9892] Cheng, B., Wiggins, D., Berger, L., and D. Fedyk, Ed., "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Traffic Classification Data Item", RFC 9892, DOI 10.17487/RFC9892, December 2025, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9892.
- [RFC9893] Cheng, B., Wiggins, D., Ratliff, S., Berger, L., and E. Kinzie, Ed., "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Credit-Based Flow Control Messages and Data Items", RFC 9893, DOI 10.17487/RFC9893, December 2025, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9893.

6.2. Informative References

- [RFC2475] Blake, S., Black, D., Carlson, M., Davies, E., Wang, Z., and W. Weiss, "An Architecture for Differentiated Services", RFC 2475, DOI 10.17487/RFC2475, December 1998, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2475.
- [RFC9895] Wiggins, D., Berger, L., and D. Eastlake 3rd, Ed., "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension", RFC 9895, DOI 10.17487/RFC9895, December 2025, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9895.

Acknowledgments

Many useful comments were received from contributors to the MANET Working Group, notably Ronald in 't Velt.

We had the honor of working too briefly with David Wiggins on this and related DLEP work. His contribution to the IETF and publication of the first and definitive open-source DLEP implementation have been critical to the acceptance of DLEP. We mourn his passing on November 26, 2023. We wish to recognize his guidance, leadership, and professional excellence. We were fortunate to benefit from his leadership and friendship. He shall be missed.

Authors' Addresses

Bow-Nan Cheng

MIT Lincoln Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
244 Wood Street
Lexington, MA 02421-6426
United States of America
Email: bcheng@ll.mit.edu

David Wiggins

Lou Berger

LabN Consulting, L.L.C. Email: lberger@labn.net

Donald E. Eastlake 3rd (EDITOR)

Independent 2386 Panoramic Circle Apopka, FL 32703 United States of America

Phone: +1-508-333-2270 Email: d3e3e3@gmail.com